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Abstract:

Background: The structure of the frontal sinus varies greatly, and these differences influence the onset and progression of clinical diseases. Frontal sinus morphology is unique to each individual and may be used to properly identify people.
Aim: The aim of this study is to evaluate the morphometric anatomical variations of the frontal sinus in adult Libyan people using a CT scan in relation to gender.
Method and result: 147 patients (78 females and 69 males) were included in this study. Patients were divided into three groups regarding their frontal sinus pneumatization extent on the orbital roof: group 1 pneumatization (small), group 2 pneumatization (medium), and group 3 pneumatization (large).
We found that the most common frontal sinus type is medium-sized (37.75 %), followed by the small (24.8%) and large (22.8%) types, respectively. There was no significant difference between the right and left sides in terms of frontal sinus type (P-value = 0.311). We recorded aplasia in 17.7% of all patients, which included (bilateral in 9.5%, left unilateral in 2% and right unilateral in 6.1%).
In conclusion, we classified frontal sinuses using the categorization established by Stokovic et al. and showed that pneumatization patterns differ even between two opposite sides of the same individual.
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Introduction:

The frontal sinus (sinus frontalis) is one of the paranasal sinuses. The majority of the seats are located in the frontal squamous region. It is the bone in the posterior section of the superciliary arches that connects the outer and inner tables; it opens into the adjacent nasal cavity's lateral wall by opening into the middle meates.1,2 The frontal, maxillary, ethmoid, and sphenoid sinuses are four paired sinuses, and each of their developmental processes is unique.3 The frontal sinuses (FS) are pairs of pneumatic cavities that develop gradually and are not present at birth. Primary pneumatization of the frontal bone occurs slowly during the first year of life.4

The FS is supposed to be an extension of the anterior ethmoid cell and develops the most after birth. Rapid pneumatization occurs 2 years from the initiation of sequestering pneumatosis until puberty, and the FS is apparent on computed tomography after about 3 years. Pneumatization of the FS begins at the age of 8 years and continues till the age of 18 to 20 years.4,5 They are rarely symmetrical; the two sinus cavities are separated by a septum is frequently deviated from the midline, are usually asymmetrical, and both extend back into the orbital portion of the frontal bone.6-8

Frontal sinuses are one of the human body’s most variable anatomic structures. By direct observation, the anatomical variations in their size and location may reflect the pathology of the anatomically related areas.1,9

The FS has no commonly recognized anatomical classification. Previous research on anatomic variations defined volumetric variants of the frontal sinus using labels such as aplasia, hypoplasia, medium-size, and hyperplasia, which were only based on two-dimensional assessments. Two-dimensional in this way, the 'width' and 'height' parameters define the pneumatization pattern.8,10,11

Stokovic et al. (2018) have revealed a strong association between coronal plane measurements of frontal sinus size parameters and the amount of frontal sinus-orbital roof contact area. Moreover, they identified three types of frontal sinuses based on size and relationship to the orbital cavity using cluster analysis.12

This is an uncomplicated classification. This allows the frontal sinus type to be easily identified on a standard sinus radiograph and has the potential to be highly useful in the clinical setting if it is found to be strongly associated with the progression of pathological conditions.12

The aim: to evaluate the morphometric anatomical variations of the frontal sinus in adult Libyan people using a CT scan in relation to gender.

Methodology:

This retrospective study included 147 (69 males and 78 females) subjects randomly selected from Benghazi hospitals, after 11 subjects were excluded from the 158 who had a CT scan of their paranasal sinuses between May 2020 and March 2021, with ages ranging from 18 to 80. Exclusion criteria include the presence of pathological features or fractures in the entrance area, as well as imaging
quality that was inadequate or inappropriate for CT cuts and children. The DICOM viewer for medical image software is used to classify the frontal sinus into three groups based on their pneumatization extent on the orbital roof as proposed by Stokovic et al.12 We subdivided the orbital roof into three parts and classified it as shown in figure 1.

Fig. 1: Coronal computed tomography image illustrating the three-part segmentation of the orbital roof. The space between the two red, blue, and green lines represents the medial, central, and lateral parts of the roof, respectively.

Fig. 2 Coronal computed tomography images showing the small (a), medium (b), and large (c) categories of frontal sinuses in relation to the orbital roof.

Group 1 pneumatization (small): no or minimal pneumatization in the orbital roof's medial part. (fig. 2a)

Group 2 pneumatization (medium): pneumatization of the medial and central parts of the orbital roof. (fig. 2b)

Group 3 pneumatization (large): pneumatization of the entire orbital roof (medial, central, and lateral). (fig. 2c)

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences Windows (SPSS) software version 26 was used to perform statistical analyses on all the data obtained. The results were evaluated with 95% confidence intervals, and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Means, standard deviations, and minimum and maximum values were calculated for quantitative variables based on CT-based morphometric analyses of the FSs.

Results:
In this study, 147 subjects had a frequency of aplasia (17.7%), as shown in table 1. The results showed three female subjects (11.6%) only had unilateral absence of sinus on the left side; five females and four males (34.6%) had unilateral absence on the
right side; eight females and six males (53.8%) had bilateral aplasia. The frequency and distribution of aplasia are shown in figure 3.

The findings show the frequency of aplasia in 147 subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of sinus type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Frequency %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aplasia</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=number, P=present

The findings in terms of the frequency of sinus types are summarized in Table 2. The most prevalent frontal sinus type sized, second to the small (24.8%) and large (22.8%) types.

![Pie chart showing distribution of aplasia and pneumatisation](image)

**Figure 3** Shows the percentage of anatomic variations of the frontal sinus.
As demonstrated in table 3, there was no significant difference in frontal sinus type between the right and left sides after the aplasia was excluded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right length</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8.174</td>
<td>2.688</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7.139</td>
<td>2.416</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left length</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8.462</td>
<td>2.878</td>
<td>0.308</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P=0.311; McNemar-Bowker Test
Using the T-test, the descriptive statistics, mean values, standard deviation, and P value are shown in table 4. Males generally have a higher mean value for all variables than females. The standard right length in males was 8.174mm and 7.139mm in females, while the left length in males was 8.462mm and 7.928mm in females. The difference in right length between males and females was found to be statistically significant (P value 0.032), but the opposite was not (P value 0.335). Males had an average right width of 21.484mm, while females had an average right width of 21.889mm. Males had a mean left width of 23.663mm while females had a mean left width of 21.984mm. The difference in left width among males and females was found to be statistically significant (P value 0.03). The difference in width between the right and left sides was not statistically significant. (P value = 0.779, P = 0.308).
The basic measurements of the anatomical variants of the three groups of the frontal sinus are summarized in Table 5. There were minor variations between the right and left sinuses (all mean values varied by 1.93mm). In this research, the medium sinus had a maximum length ranging from (12.700mm in the left to 13.569mm in the right), as well as maximum width (of 29.814mm in the right to 30.409mm in the left). In addition to this, the confined interval for the length ranged from (8.018 - 9.307mm) in the left to (7.170 - 8.486mm) in the right, while the width ranged from (22.659 - 25.034mm) in the left to (20.964 - 22.868mm) to the right.

Table 5: statistical analysis of the Frontal Sinus type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>95% confined interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Upper / lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>5.955</td>
<td>2.549</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>12.676</td>
<td>6.805/5.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>5.989</td>
<td>1.941</td>
<td>2.486</td>
<td>10.575</td>
<td>6.701/5.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>7.828</td>
<td>2.525</td>
<td>3.020</td>
<td>13.569</td>
<td>8.486/7.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LR</td>
<td>9.399</td>
<td>2.302</td>
<td>5.120</td>
<td>13.084</td>
<td>10.292/8.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LW</td>
<td>32.726</td>
<td>3.852</td>
<td>27.428</td>
<td>43.450</td>
<td>34.029/31.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RW</td>
<td>31.323</td>
<td>5.016</td>
<td>20.479</td>
<td>47.151</td>
<td>33.268/29.378</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LW=left width, RW=Right width, LL=left length, RL=right length, SD=standard deviation

**Discussion:**

The total prevalence of aplasia in this research was 17.7%, as seen in figures 1 and 4, whereas bilateral absence of the frontal sinus was 9.5% (4.1% for males and 5.4% for females), as indicated in Table 1. Schuller observed that a bilateral absence of the frontal sinuses in adults may be seen in around 5% of all radiographs.13 The bilateral absence of a frontal sinus was identified in 10% of samples, according to Leicher’s definition.14 Yoshino et al. discovered the absence of a sinus in 4.8% of male samples in a research on Japanese adult skulls.15 The following populations were found to have a bilateral absence of the frontal...
sinuses: Alaskan Eskimos account for 25% of males and 36% of females.16 Bushmen account 11% of males and 11% of females, while Awarischs account 8% of males and 13% of females.14 Furthermore, the Japanese make up 13% of males and 23% of females.17 Canadian Eskimos account for 43% of males and 40% of females,18 while Austrians account for 10% of males and 10% of females; additionally, Germans,20 3.4%, and Turks,21 account for 3.1% in males and 5.1% in female. According to the literature, the prevalence of bilateral absence of the frontal sinuses in this research was lower than that reported for other ethnic communities and was comparable to that of the German and Turkish populations. Further, these studies show a higher incidence among females than males, which is consistent with our findings. Frontal sinus is absent on one side alone, as shown in figures 1 and 4. The frequency of right unilateral frontal sinus absence was 2.7% in males and 3.4% in females in this research. Males had 0% left unilateral sinus absence and females had 2%, as shown in table 1. Yoshino et al. found that 14.3% of males (9.5% right, 4.8% left) and 7.1% of females (7.1% right, 0.0% left) had unilateral sinus absence.14 Nowak and Mehls (1977) found a unilateral absence of the frontal sinus in 7.4% of adults, 4.2% on the right and 3.2% on the left (in 3.6% of males and 2.8% of females).20 Schuller reported a 1% frequency of unilateral absence, 0.8% of right unilateral aplasia in males and 2% in females, and 1.1% of left unilateral aplasia in males and 0.9% in females in Turkish populations.13 Furthermore, Tatlsunak reports, the frequency of unilateral aplasia was 1.33% (1% right, 0.33% left); Aydinoglu reports 4.8% (2.83% right, 2% left),21 and Cakur 1.2% (0.73% right, 0.33% left).22

According to the findings, frontal sinuses may be classified into three categories based on the extent of pneumatization of the orbital roof as evaluated by conventional clinical radiological examination, according to Stokovic et al.12 (small, medium-sized, and large). According to table 2, the most prevalent type in our sample was medium-sized, followed by small, and lastly, larger size, which is consistent with previous research by Zdemir et al.24 In addition to that, contrary to (Guerram et al.,20 Yuksel Aslier et al.,8 and Stokovic et al.12) that showed that the most common type is medium-sized, followed by large, and the last is small.

Fig. 4, 3D imaging of the aplasia: a) left unilateral aplasia b) bilateral aplasia c) right unilateral aplasia
All of the response variables demonstrated that the mean value is consistently greater in males than in females, and the sinus is higher in males than in females, as shown in table 3. It can be linked to the fact that morphological variations in the cranium between sexes are primarily determined by genetic causes, more so by dietary, hormonal, or muscular causes. These factors explain why males have a larger frontal sinus than females.

The left frontal sinus is significantly larger than the right side in both males and females, which is similar to the results of Camargo et al., Pondé et al., and Shireen et al. but differs from the findings of Kotraschet et al. One side is larger than the other due to their independent formation. One sinus may be larger than the other, with the larger sinus crossing the midline and even overflowing the smaller.

All of the response variables for the three types of sinuses are illustrated in table 5, with the average mean of the left length being 5.955mm, 8.633mm, and 10.017mm in small, medium, and large sizes, respectively, compared with the average mean of the right length, which was 5.989mm, 7.828mm, and 9.399mm in small, medium, and large sizes, respectively. All evidence points to the left large sinus having a mean greater than the right large sinus, and that is similar to the left medium-size sinus but contrasted with the left small sinus.

The results of the current study will influence a discussion on volumetric diagnosis for frontal sinus pneumatization pattern categorization. It will also help to advance radiology understanding, which may be utilized to create computerized models for creating newer surgical procedures and guidance techniques for frontal sinus operations.

**Conclusion:**

In conclusion, we classified frontal sinuses using the categorization established by Stokovic et al. and showed that pneumatization patterns differ even between two opposite sides of the same individual. The more frequent type of the frontal sinus is the medium-sized. This study’s findings could be used to illustrate the clinical benefit of this categorization.
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